

@Risk kick-off meeting / workshop agenda

uOttawa campus, Room Faculty of Social Sciences Building Room 5028

120 University Private, Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5



INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE SUR LA SCIENCE,
LA SOCIÉTÉ ET LA POLITIQUE PUBLIQUE
INSTITUTE FOR SCIENCE, SOCIETY AND POLICY

Tuesday May 23

430 pm – 6 pm public lecture / panel “Managing risk in a post-trust era”

Speakers

Rukhsana Ahmed, Department of Communication, uOttawa

Erick Lachapelle, Department of Political Science, Université de Montréal

Kieran O’Doherty, Department of Psychology, University of Guelph

Gregor Wolbring, Department of Community Health Sciences, University of Calgary, ISSP Fellow

Location : Faculty of Social Sciences Building Room 4004

630 pm – 9 pm dinner (Courtyard Restaurant in the Byward Market, 21 George Street)

Wednesday May 24

Faculty of Social Sciences Building Room 5028

| | |
|-------------|---|
| 800 - 830 | Continental breakfast available |
| 830 - 845 | Welcome / Reminder of proposal / Governance set-up (Monica Gattinger) |
| 845 - 1000 | Discussion of “straw dog” framework / approach for evaluating risk governance across case studies Stewart Fast to present followed by commentary by team leads for: “health cluster” Kieran O’Doherty; “genomics cluster” Stuart Nicholls; “energy cluster” Monica Gattinger; and commentary from members of the “analytical theme clusters” – Michelle Driedger (risk communication and analysis), Heather Douglas* (motivated reasoning) and Duanne Bratt (evidence based decision making and governance). Followed by open discussion. <i>“straw dog” material provided to team prior to workshop.</i> |
| 1000 – 1015 | Break |

| | |
|-------------|---|
| 1015 - 1215 | <p>Dimensions of the case studies</p> <p><i>Case study leads introduce each case study (5- 10 min) providing their general research perspective and how they view the case study terms of the “straw dog” comparative framework. What improvements and what revisions needed to framework. Speakers could also provide commentary on extent to which there is a public vs experts controversy, the types of mechanisms to incorporate public values, extent to which motivated reasoning is or is not displayed in the case studies, communication considerations , and overall public policy challenges revealed in case study.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationale for choice of case studies (Monica Gattinger) • Nuclear (Duane Bratt) • Cancer Screening (Michelle Driedger) • Whole genome screening for newborns (Stuart Nicholls) • Fracking (Erick Lachapelle) • Vaccine hesitancy (Kieran O’Doherty) • Gene edited dairy cattle** <p><i>Response / commentary from partner organizations (Renee Silke, Adrienne Ethier, Keith Dewar, Frank Welsh)</i></p> <p><i>Response / commentary from collaborators (Josh Greenberg, Eric Montpetit, Bob Walker, Gregor Wolbring, Marisa Beck, Stewart Fast)</i></p> |
| 1215 - 1315 | Lunch |
| 1315 - 1400 | <p>Preliminary workplan (Stewart Fast to be followed by commentary by Monica Gattinger / Kieran O’Doherty / Stuart Nicholls)</p> <p><i>Presentation of draft overview of tasks and timeline. For discussion and to be modified. Ideally the tasks for summer are at least to be agreed upon by the end of the meeting.</i></p> |
| 1400-1430 | <p>Early design considerations for survey</p> <p><i>Briefing on potential survey instrument design for phase 2 of @Risk (Simon Kiss, Erick Lachapelle, Eric Montpetit)</i></p> |
| 230- 300 pm | Open discussion - refreshments available |
| 300-310 pm | Wrap up and next steps |

* by telecon

** Jennifer Kuzma leads this case but due to a scheduling conflict cannot attend.

Team members who are unable to attend due to scheduling conflicts: Loleen Berdahl, Scott Findlay, Jennifer Kuzma, Dan Paré, Greg Penney, Andrea Perella